

## A&S Restructuring Alternatives for FC to Consider

- I. Possible Alternative Structures.
  - a. The Executive
    - i. Status Quo
    - ii. Executive Dean and Vice President
    - iii. Vice Provost for Arts and Sciences
    - iv. Other?
  - b. Powers
    - i. Space allocations to colleges
      1. Yes
      2. No (retained by provost)
    - ii. Base Budgets to colleges
      1. Yes
      2. No
    - iii. Allocate incremental funds to colleges and programs (source considered below)
      1. Yes
      2. No
    - iv. Play major role in choosing and reappointing college deans
      1. Yes
      2. No (Provost responsibility)
    - v. Role in college P&T
      1. be consulted about college decisions and be a conduit for passing them to the provost
      2. None
  - c. Source of Funding for executive and programs
    - i. Central Administration funds for special initiatives
    - ii. Central Administration to pay for services moved from OAA to A&S.
    - iii. Tax on colleges' incremental revenues.
    - iv. Keep funds saved by centralization of previously decentralized functions
  - d. What can benefit from centralization
    - i. Development
    - ii. Recruiting
    - iii. Advising
    - iv. Outreach
    - v. ????
- II. Implementation Process
  - a. Proposal processing
    - i. Provost takes account of feed on Ad Hoc Committee report and decides specific alternative, without further discussion
    - ii. Provost uses feedback to develop a specific plan, which is then presented for further discussion and action.
    - iii. Provost provides a menu of alternatives to be evaluated.

- iv. Provost asks for further study of various issues based on issues that have arisen in connection with Ad Hoc Committee report
  - b. Governance.
    - i. Senate needs to approve
    - ii. Provost and President proceed centrally without senate action
- III. Issues from the Ad Hoc Committee's Report (Source is some chairs of selective investment departments in A&S).