

FACULTY COUNCIL MINUTES
1 DECEMBER 2005
FACULTY CLUB
3:30 – 5:30 PM

1) PPM/Glenn Institute proposal

Kay Halasek, chair of the CAA ad-hoc committee that reviewed the proposed merger of the School of Public Policy and Management and the John Glenn Institute for Public Service and Public Policy presented the ad-hoc committee's recommendation to the Faculty Council. Senator John Glenn addressed the FC on the importance of the merger and emphasized his strong support for, and personal commitment to, it..

Dick Gunther presented an idea for an oversight (not advisory) committee to be composed of the deans and some senior faculty in the College of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Fisher College of Business, and the College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences. This proposal was designed to address some of the more important concerns arising from the reporting line switching from SBS to the Office of Academic Affairs.

Anand Desai, interim director of the School of Public Policy and Management addressed the FC. Philip T.K. Daniel took questions.

In the limited time available for questions, the main question centered on the timeline for approval and who would have the opportunity to review the final oversight proposal. Members of both CAFR and the Research Committee expressed interest in having their committees review this proposal before it went to Senate for a vote.

Daniel asked the ad-hoc committee to revisit the proposal in light of Gunther's recommended oversight committee. Daniel indicated that faculty leadership would consider how to next proceed and that the final proposal should be brought back to FC for a vote at its 2 February meeting.

2) Faculty Council Evaluation of Deans—Lynn Olson

Lynn Olson addressed the Faculty Council on the evaluation of deans and answered questions from the floor. Daniel proposed to form an ad-hoc committee that would bring recommendations to FC on whether to repeat the survey or not and with whom the data should be shared. Daniel asked for these recommendations at either the 5 January or the 2 February meeting depending on size of the agenda.

3) Electronic SEI's

Gunther discussed the current proposal to move all SEI's to electronic format, phasing out entirely the paper surveys. He indicated that the primary motivation for doing so is cost-saving and pointed out some of the flaws with the eSEI. Gunther also mentioned the

undergraduate students' interest in publishing SEI results and will come back to FC in January after meeting with the Undergraduate Student Government. Daniel promised to invite the presidents of all three student governments to that meeting.

4) College POA proposal

Charlie Wilson, chair of the ad-hoc committee that developed the college POA proposal addressed the Faculty Council about revisions to the proposal that are acceptable to the Council of Deans and the provost. FC voted in support of the current proposal as revised by the ad-hoc committee with a vote of 27 for and 3 against.